

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,810	12/14/2001	Karin Otto	32301W242	1667	
7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			CAIN, EDWARD J		
ATTORNEYS SUITE 800	AT LAW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1850 M STREET, N.W.			1714		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2009	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					[12]			
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/014,81	0	OTTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Edward J.	Cain	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of the considered time.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _								
•	——. This action is no	on-final.						
' =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9-13 and 22-27 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from cor							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Statement No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,823

Art Unit: 1714

Claims numbered as 20-28 as originally submitted have been renumbered as claims 17-27 under rule 126 since no claim 17 was originally presented.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 variously recites an article and a pigment for the production of inks in such manner that it cannot be ascertained what applicant intends.

Claim 15 variously recites a printing ink and a method. Appropriate clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linde et al '026 in view of Linde et al '988.

Linde et al '026 discloses carbon black pellets comprising one or more binders and/or dispersants including oil as binder and naphthalene/formaldehyde condensation products as dispersant. This latter species is seen as a resin. These binders and dispersants are disclosed as suitably present in amounts of 0.1 to 25%. The pellets are further disclosed as comprising a coating of wax or polymer resins (claim 10).

ارم (۱۵/۵۱۰) Application/Control Number: 09/987,623

Art Unit: 1714

This reference fails to explicitly recite the use of synthetic oil.

The Linde '988 reference discloses similar carbon black pellets and explicitly recites the suitability of synthetic oils as binder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select synthetic oil and resins as the binder and dispersant of the carbon black pellets of the primary reference. Further, the wax of claim 10 of the '026 reference is seen as functioning as a pelletizing additive since it would aid in preventing the pellet from disintegrating.

Regarding the limitations to DBP and surface area values for the carbon black, these values are seen as encompassing the majority of carbon blacks available and therefore rendering obvious their use.

Regarding the limitations to bead hardness and strength, these properties are seen as inherent to the pellets of the prior art since the chemical limitations are met.

Claims 9-13 and 22-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1118. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 49/987;823

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714